

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/643,925	(08/20/2003	Hiroyuki Yamada	056205.48558C1	4766
	23911	23911 7590 11/03/2005			EXAMINER	
•	CROWELL		ING LLP OPERTY GROUP	MILLER, CA	RL STUART	
	P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		JP +					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/643,925	YAMADA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carl S. Miller	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 August 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,11-14 and 24-35</u> is/are pending in the)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,11-14 and 24-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,11-14,24-30 and 35</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>8,11-14,24-30 and 35</u> is/are rejected.						
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
. Attachment(s)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	eate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/20/03&3/19/04.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/643,925

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 31-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on August 5, 2005. Also, the applicant will note that Claim 31 has been withdrawn from the consideration since this claim does read on the elected species.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, the claim contains redundant language which makes it unclear exactly what the applicant intends to claim.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 8, 24, 25, 27 and 35 (as understood) are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim

Application/Control Number: 10/643,925

Art Unit: 3747

7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,631,706. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because this claim of applicant's prior patent includes all of the claimed features of the applicant's noted claims with only slightly different wording being used in several instances.

Claims 11-14, 26, and 28-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,631,706 in view of Kraemer. In particular, Kraemer teaches a fuel pump including a n inlet valve which is operated as is applicant's valve and includes all of its features except the second biasing spring.

It would have been obvious to apply the claimed device to an injection pump of the type taught by Kraemer since the claimed device merely requires some type of fuel feed pump.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 24, 25, 27 and 35 (as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kraemer.

In particular, Kraemer applies as noted above.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,925

Art Unit: 3747

Finally, the applicant will note that the references in the IDS of 3/19/04 have been lined through since they appear to be totally unrelated to this case and were probably meant to be filed in a different case having a closely related serial number.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 703-308-2653. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry YUEN, can be reached at 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).